the following effect, namely, for the words "two annas" wherever they occur, the words "three annas" shall be substituted, be agreed to."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE KOLAR GOLD FIELDS MUNICIPAL BILL, 1952.

Motion to withdraw.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That leave be granted to withdraw the Mysore Kolar Gold Fields Municipal Bill, 1952, as reported by the Select Committee."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That leave be granted to withdraw the Mysore Kolar Gold Fields Municipal Bill, 1952, as reported by the Select Committee."

Sri M. LINGANNA (Nanjangud).—May I know the recommendation of the Select Committee and on what grounds the Select Committee has recommended that the Bill be withdrawn ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Select Committee interviewed the representatives of the Mining Board and others interested in the matter and.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Copy of the report has been circulated ; it contains the Statement.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes, Sir. The Select Committee is of the opinion that the Bill as at present drafted needs to be altered materially. The Committee feels that the Bill will have to be redrafted and the new Bill brought up for consideration of the two Houses of Legislature. Permission is therefore sought to withdraw the Bill.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—So, will the Government give an assurance to this House that by next session they will be bringing another Bill with necessary changes - redrafted?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We will try.

***Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM** (Jagalur).—Sir, being a Member of the Legislature for the last quarter of a century and so far as my recollection goes, I think this is the first time that a Select Committee has recommended for the withdrawal of a Bill. I do not see any other instance. The Select Committee was appointed by this House to consider that Bill and the Select Committee, perhaps unanimously, has recommended that the Bill should be withdrawn. May I submit that such a recommendation is more or less a censure on the Government and censure on those who were responsible for the introduction or piloting this Bill before this House? It is not only a censure...

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is a Report of the Select Committee.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—That is what I am saying. The Bill was introduced before this Houseand the Members of this House took part in the debate and they approved almost the entire Bill and referred the Bill to be considered by the Select Committee.

1 P.M.

I do not know for what reason the Select Committee have recommended that the entire Bill be withdrawn. I do not know under what circumstances the Select Committee took this extreme step. These reasons must be given to this House by the gentlemen or by the Member who presided over the committee meetings. The Hon'ble Minister in charge of the Bill just now explained that it was necessary to consult the Mining Authorities and the Local Boards. But why did not the Government consult them before they sponsored the Bill ? Was it not their duty to have consulted them before bringing in the bill before the House ? That is a serious omission on their part. On the other hand I can say that this is the kind of responsibility with which they have introduced the Bill before the House. It is the duty of the Government to consider all consequences that would accrue. But they did not do so. They introduced the Bill without taking into consideration local conditions, without consulting the Mining Authorities or the Local Boards or the local people. It is a sad confession. The other day I remarked that whenever a Bill is introduced, before this

*Asterisk indicates that the speech has not been revised by the Member concerned.

(Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.)

House, some complication or other arises. For a very small measure they have taken six days to push it through. That is because the Government do not take all reasonable precautions before introducing a Bill. This is one clear instance to bear that out. So I submit that the very fact that the Select Committee appointed by us has said that this Bill should be dropped shows the lack of responsibility on the part of the Government or of those who sponsored this legislation, that they are simply groping in the dark and that they do not understand the serious consequences of legislation. There is a tendency on the part of the Government to legislate for everything. When I was in office, or if you take the history of legislation ten years back, you will find that for every session there would be only one or two legislative measures. But now for everything there is legislation. Even now I request the Government to make it plain as to what the reasons are that induced the Select Committee to recommend the dropping of this measure.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—Sir, it is for the first time I am hearing that withdrawal of a Bill on the recommendation of the Select Committee is considered as censure on the Government. My Hon'ble friend has also said that this is the first occasion. But I remember that really there have been some Bills or at least one Bill which has been withdrawn though for the time being I may not readily lay my hands upon it.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Nor can I.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Yes. On the contrary, his recommendation and the acceptance by this House will mean the amount of responsiveness that is forthcoming to public opinion and also it means the great responsibility with which it is being done.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—On a point of information, Sir. Was not this Bill circulated, was not public opinion elicited and was it not published in the Gazette?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—All the relevant procedure necessary has been followed. But yet, when fresh facts were forthcoming, when representations were made, specially in this case by the Sanitary Board, the Mining Board and also the Labour Union, all these bodies were consulted and discussion with them went on at great length. To one particular point which has been noted by the Select Committee I wish to draw the attention of the House. They have said:

> "The Bill provides for the setting up of a municipality for the areas administered by the present Kolar Gold Fields Sanitary Board. At present, though the Sanitary Board exercises jurisdiction over the mining area, the actual work of attending to public health, water supply, etc., is undertaken by the Mining Board. The Board is spending all the necessary monies in consideration of the exemption from certain dues to the Sanitary Board. This arrangement has been in existence for many years in the past."

If this arrangement was disturbed without making proper arrangements, then the municipality would not only be handicapped in doing all that is being done by it at present but would come under great censure. And further these authorities represent that the matter could be better dealt with by means of separate Bills. It is with the object of bringing in separate Bills covering these various factors and also fixing up due responsibilities on each of the bodies concerned that this Bill is sought to be withdrawn. That does not mean the scrapping of the matter. On the other hand, it is to make proper provision for the discharge of these responsibilities by each body concerned that this is proposed to be withdrawn. I may also read one more paragraph from the report of the Select Committee:

> "We are advised that this arrangement cannot be implemented by any possible amendments to the existing Bill but that a separate Bill or Bills would be necessary.

We therefore make no recommendations in regard to the present Bill, but recommend that Government may bring forward separate Bill or Bills to provide for the matters referred to above."

In these circumstances the Select Committee was very well advised in making the recommendation and I hope this will commend itself to this House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ (ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಇದೇ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೆಲೆಕ್ಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಾಗಿ, ವರದಿಯು ಕೂಡ ಬಂದು ಕಾರಣಾಂತರದಿಂದ ಅದು ಮುಂದು ವರಿಯದೆ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ನಿಂತಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆ ಸೆಲೆಕ್ಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು ಏನು ವರದಿ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುದು ನವುಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಬೇಕು.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I think it had come up before, I remember, and perhaps, owing to the prorogation of the Session it lapsed and therefore it had to be taken up afresh. It was taken up again for discussion in this House and it was referred to the Select Committee when all these relevant matters were considered.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಯಕರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ, ಚರ್ಚಾಸ್ಪದವೆಂದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದ ಯಾವ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಬಂದರೂ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಡಿಸ್ಪಿ ಕ್ಟ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ (ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ) ಮನೂದೆ, ಜಮೀನ್ದಾರಿ ರದ್ದಿಯಾತಿ ಮನೂದೆ-ಇವೆಲ್ಲಾ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯರೂಪಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದೇ ಮುಂದು ವರಿಯುತ್ತಿವೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೆಲೆಕ್ಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರು ಸಹ ಚರ್ಚೆ ನಡೆಸಿ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಆ ವರದಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—A copy of it must be in our library.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಅದೂ ಸಹ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬದಲಾವಣೆಯಾಗುವುದರಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅಧಿವೇಶನ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ amendments ಬರುವುದು, ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಕರಾರುಗಳಾಗುವುದು, ಅವು ಇತ್ಯರ್ಥವಾಗದೇ ಪುನಃ ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುವುದು-ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೀಗಾಗುತ್ತ, ಹೋದರೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದಿಗೆ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದು? ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಕ್ರಮ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ, ಒಂದು ಮನೂದೆಯನ್ನು ತರುವ ಮುನ್ನ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಲೋಪದೋಷಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಗೂ ಚರ್ಚಾಸ್ಪದವಾದುದಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಸೆಲೆಕ್ಟ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯ ವಿವೇಚನೆಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲಾದರೂ ಆ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಶಾಸನಸಭೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತಂದು ಕಾನೂನು ಕಡತಕ್ಕೇರಿಸುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದು.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Even the Sanitary Board Bill fell through.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—Yes. That is also another instance. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದಾದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನಂಬಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—Once again I may point out that the Select Committee consisted of labour leaders, Opposition Members and also a few of the Government benches. I will read the names of the members for edification of the Hon'ble Member.

Myself as Chairman, Sri T. Channiah, Sri K. Pattabhiraman, Sri R. Anantaraman, Sri P. Swamidurai, Sri A. Bheemappa Naik, Sri S. Srinivasa Iyengar and Sri K. S. Vasan. So it is a unanimous report.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—We did not say that it is wrong for them to have made that recommendation.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—We did not find fault with the members of the Committee. On the other hand we admire their pluck and courage. But the initial responsibility lies with the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That leave be granted to withdraw the Mysore Kolar Gold fields Municipal Bill, 1952, as reported by the Select Committee."

The motion was adopted.

MYSORE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATION (LIMITATION OF FUNCTIONS), 1953.

Motion to consider Modifications made in the Council.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the following modifications made to the Mysore Public Service Commission Regulation (Limitation of Functions), 1953, by the Legislative Council be adopted:

1. In the annexure under Subordinate Service for the existing note, the following note shall be substituted:

'The Director of Industries and Commerce is authorised to appoint